



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
P.O. BOX 1450  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN LLP  
525 WEST MONROE STREET  
CHICAGO, IL 60661-3693

**COPY MAILED**

**OCT 13 2005**

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of  
Gary W. Ramsden, et al.  
Application No. 10/696,894  
Filed: October 30, 2003  
Attorney Docket No. 331235-00019

DECISION ON PETITION  
UNDER 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3)

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3), filed August 16, 2005, to accept an unintentionally delayed claim under 35 U.S.C. § 120 for the benefit of prior-filed nonprovisional Application No. 09/162,874, as set forth in the concurrently filed amendment.

A petition for acceptance of a claim for late priority under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) is only applicable to those applications filed on or after November 29, 2000. Further, the petition is appropriate only after the expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii). In addition, the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) must be accompanied by:

- (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. § 120 and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(i) of the prior-filed application, unless previously submitted;
- (2) the surcharge set forth in § 1.17(t); and
- (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional.

All the above requirements having been satisfied, the late claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 is accepted as being unintentionally delayed.


**The granting of the petition to accept the delayed benefit claim to the prior-filed application under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) should not be construed as meaning that this application is entitled to the benefit of the prior-filed application. In order for this application to be entitled to the benefit of the prior-filed application, all other requirements under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(1) and (a)(2) must be met. Similarly, the fact that the corrected Filing Receipt accompanying this decision on petition includes the prior-filed application should not be construed as meaning that applicant is entitled to the claim for benefit of priority to the prior-filed application noted thereon. Accordingly, the examiner will, in due course, consider this benefit claim and determine whether the application is entitled to the benefit of the earlier filing date.**

A corrected Filing Receipt, which includes the priority claim to the prior-filed nonprovisional application, accompanies this decision on petition.

The amendment to the specification seeking to add an additional claim for benefit to Application No. 09/162,874 includes an improper incorporation by reference statement. *Note* MPEP 201.11, Section III. Reference to Prior Application(s), page 200-57, Rev. 2, May 2004. However, a determination will be made by the examiner as to whether the incorporation by reference statement would add new matter, and such will be conveyed to applicant in an Office action.

Any inquiries concerning this decision may be directed to Retta Williams at (571) 272-3229. All other inquiries concerning either the examination procedures or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

This application is being forwarded to Technology Center Art Unit 3639 for appropriate action on the amendment filed August 16, 2005, including consideration by the examiner of applicant's entitlement to claim benefit of priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 to the prior-filed application.

  
Frances Hicks  
Petitions Examiner  
Office of Petitions

**ATTACHMENT:** Corrected Filing Receipt